

REMARKS

4 Please note that the attorney prosecuting this application for the assignee, Johnson & Johnson, is now Hal Brent Woodrow (Reg. No. 32,501). This change has been authorized by the Associated Power Attorney submitted herewith. No change in the address for correspondence is necessary.

Claim 21 has been amend to place this claim in proper form for allowance. Claim 21 as amended claims a heterogeneous braid composed of a first and second set of yarns. The first set of yarns are made of a fiber-forming material selected from the group consisting of PTFE, FEP, PFA, PVDF, PETFE, PP, and PE materials. The second set of yarns are made of a fiber-forming material selected from the group consisting PET, nylon and aramid materials. Support for there amendments may be found in the specification on page 4, lines 12-22 and page 8, lines 3-23. Accordingly, applicants request entry of this amendment and reconsideration of claim 21.

The rejection of claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kaplan et al. has been reviewed. However, applicants respectfully submit that claim 21 as amended is not anticipated by Kaplan. Kaplan, as stated by the Examiner, describes a connective tissue prosthesis comprising a braided sheath yarn component and a core yarn component. The sheath yarn being a biocompatible yarn that is bioabsorbable or semi-bioabsorbable (column 9 lines 10-12). In one embodiment the sheath yarn could also contain a non-bioabsorbable yarn of one or more chemical composition (column 9 line 25-27). Claim 21 as amended does not claim a sheath yarn composed of a bioabsorbable yarn. Accordingly, Kaplan et al. does not anticipate claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). Therefore, applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 21 as being anticipated by Kaplan et al.

Applicants have also reviewed the rejection of claims 21-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Doddi et al. taken with Kaplan et al. However, applicants respectfully submit that claims 21-24 are patentable over these documents.

Doddi et al. describes (column 9, lines 46-56) multifilament sutures composed of p-dioxanone and/or 1,4 dioxepan-2-one and alkyl substituted derivatives that may be woven, braided or knitted, either alone or in combination with nonabsorbable fibers. Although Doddi is a significant contribution to the art, Doddi does not describe heterogeneous braids formed from a first set of yarn composed of a plurality of filaments formed from materials selected

from the group consisting of PTFE, FEP, PFA PVDF, PETFE, PP and PE; and a second set of yarn composed from a plurality of filaments formed from materials selected from the group consisting of PET, nylon and aramid. Accordingly, Doddi alone would not render the present invention obvious.

Kaplan et al. as discussed previously describes a prosthesis comprising a core component and a braided sheath component. The sheath component which is designed to "erode over time" (column 9, line 52) to leave only the nonabsorbable core component. The sheath, however, may optionally have, in addition to the bioabsorbable sheath yarn, one or more non-bioabsorbable filaments. Applicants, therefore, respectfully submit that Kaplan does not suggest or disclose combining a first set of nonabsorbable yarns (i.e. PTFE) and a second set of nonabsorbable yarn (i.e. PET). In fact, Kaplan teaches away from this combination.

In column 2, Kaplan describe one of the objects of their invention as being "a prosthesis being formed of a composite yarn wherein an elastic core yarn is wrapped with a relatively inelastic, bioabsorbable or semi-absorbable sheath yarn so as to exhibit the stress-strain properties of natural tissue" (column 2, lines 36-41). In column 4, Kaplan describes fluorinated hydrocarbons, polypropylene and polyethylene as elastic core polymers as opposed to the inelastic sheath polymers desired in the sheath. Thus, Kaplan appears to suggest that the sheath yarns listed by the applicant in claim 21 should not be used as in sheaths. Applicants respectfully submit that in view of Kaplan teaching away from the present invention that the combination of Kaplan with Doddi does not render the present invention obvious. Accordingly, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 21-24.

The citation of Block (U.S. Patent No. 3,527,650) has also been considered, but is respectfully submitted to be non-analogous art. Block describes the use of PTFE particles on the external surface of a PET suture as a lubricant. Block, however, does not suggest or disclose PTFE fiber as having a lubricating effect. Therefore, Block's use of PTFE particles does not suggest or disclose the use of PTFE fibers in braids.

Applicants also wish to alert the Examiner to the applicants' intent to change the inventorship because of the reduced scope of the claims. Dennis D. Jamiolkowski will no longer appear as an inventor if the present claims are allowed. Papers to effectuate this changed inventorship will be submitted when one or more of the present claims are indicated to be allowable.

Respectfully requested,

Hal Brent Woodrow
Hal B. Woodrow
Reg. No. 32,501

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003
(908) 524-2976
Date: August 30 1993